

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/510,435	10/06/2004	Reddy Bandi Parthasaradhi	H1089/20020	8864	
3000 7590 11/30/2006			EXAMINER		
CAESAR, RIVISE, BERNSTEIN,			O SULLIVAN, PETER G		
	OKOTILOW, LTD. R, SEVEN PENN CENTER	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
1635 MARKI	ET STREET	1621			
PHILADELP.	HIA, PA 19103-2212		DATE MAILED: 11/30/2006	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/510,4	35	PARTHASARADHI ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Peter G.	O'Sullivan	1621				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are ded patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AALLING DATE OF TI of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no ex nunication. atutory period will apply and v vwill, by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICATION  White the community of the community o	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this on NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on .						
/—	•	2b)⊠ This action is r	non-final.					
3)								
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are pending in the application.							
,—	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)[🖾	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election i	equirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11)[	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. N	ote the attached Offic	ce Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority (	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)i	Acknowledgment is made of a claim  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority  2. Certified copies of the priority  3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation	documents have been documents have been of the priority documental Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Applica ents have been recei le 17.2(a)).	ation No ved in this National	l Stage			
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Infor	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Date				

Application/Control Number: 10/510,435

Art Unit: 1621

Claims 1-28 are pending in this application which should be reviewed for errors.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 24-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 24 depends on itself.

Claims 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, fourth paragraph as not further limiting the claims from which they depend. Claims 25-28 claim forms other than the form claimed in claim 24.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4, 12-14, 16, 17, 23, 24, 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jonsson et al., EP 0 325 571, and Gage et al., US 5,922,914.

Jonsson et al. disclose mixing tolterodine with tartaric acid in ethanol followed by crystallization and further recrystallization from ethanol (s. example 12 and 22). A solution of the product is injected into mice. Gage et al. further disclose the production of tolterodine tartrate by reacting the free base with tartaric acid in ethanol followed by recrystallization. Applicants' products are not shown to be any different than the forms obtained by the cited references.

Application/Control Number: 10/510,435

Art Unit: 1621

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jonsson et al., EP 0 325 571, and Gage et al., US 5,922,914, in view of Hawley et al., US 7,005,449. The teaching of Jonsson et al. and Gage et al. is given above. The instant invention differs from the teaching of the primary references in that not all solvents are disclosed and in that specific x-ray data are not given. Additionally, spray drying, vacuum drying and freeze drying are not shown. Hawley et al. disclose at the top of Col. 9 that "Crystallization was achieved using standard techniques known to a person skilled in the art." The use of various solvents and drying techniques to isolate and purify a product is within the purview of one of ordinary skill in the art. Applicants' do not show their products differ from those of the prior art or that they possess

Application/Control Number: 10/510,435 Page 4

Art Unit: 1621

unexpected beneficial properties if there is a difference. It would have been prima facie obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to start with the teaching of the cited references, to produce applicants' products by their methods and to obtain compositions suitable as pharmaceuticals.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Peter G. O'Sullivan at telephone number (571)272-0642.

PETER O'SULLIVAN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200